



H. MAX LUMMIS IV

Vice President/Chief Operating Officer 314-454-6406 Fax: 314-454-6404 94-133

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

September 16, 1994

RECEIVED
SEP 2 6 1994
FCC MAIL ROOM

Chairman Reed Hundt FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt:

I understand that at its October meeting the Federal Communications Commission may initiate a proceeding looking to relax the Prime Time Access Rule. On behalf of station KPLR-TV in St. Louis, I strongly urge you to retain the "off-network" portion of the rule.

The off-network rule is vitally important to my station and is absolutely necessary to promote diversity and competition in local television markets. In fact, the off-network portion of the Prime Time Access Rules has been instrumental in creating a competitive independent television industry. It is also an essential element in the Commission's long held goal of creating new off-air television networks.

Without the off-network rule, independent stations will have a difficult time securing the rights to top quality off-network programming to air during the access period. This in turn will have a negative impact on my station's ability to finance programming for other dayparts, including local news and public affairs programming.

It is no secret that major changes are taking place in the broadcast industry. Mega mergers between the big three networks and major program producers appear to be on the horizon. With the big three networks poised to enter the off-network and first run syndication market, my station will have a difficult time obtaining the rights to programming. Eliminating the off-network rule may make it impossible. With PTAR and the off-network portion of the rule, the American public will receive all of its programming from a few telecommunications giants. Such a result is not in the public interest.

If the FCC intends to examine this issue, it should do so in the context of a neutral Notice of Inquiry. At this time the FCC should not proceed directly to rule making. There are too many unresolved and complex issues which have not been discussed on the record.

Proposals to eliminate the off-network provision of PTAR will return us to a system where the three major networks can squeeze out independent producers and stifle competition from stations not affiliated with the big three networks. The Commission should not abdicate its responsibility to promote competition. Stations such as my own deserve a chance to compete. I urge you to proceed with extreme care and retain the off-network rule.

Very truly yours,

H. Max Lummis, IV
Vice President and

Chief Operating Officer

HML:11

September 12, 1994

RECEIVED

SEP 2 6 1994

FCC MAIL ROOM

KUZZ FM 107.9 Fax 805.328.7535

KUZZ TV 45 Fax 805.328.7576

KC&W AM 55 Fax 805.328.7535

KTIE FM 107.1 Fax 805.328.7535

HOME PREVIEW MAGAZINE Fax 805.328.7503

TARGET PRINT & MAIL Tel 805.325.3391

CAMERA ADS CLASSIFIEDS Tel 805.327.9803

KNIX FM 102.5 Phoenix, AZ

KC&W AM 1580 Phoenix, AZ Roy Stewart
Federal Communications Commission
Office Of Mass Media
1919 M Street, NW
Room 314
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Stewart:

I understand that at its October meeting the Federal Communications Commission may initiate a proceeding looking to relax the Prime Time Access Rule. On behalf of station KUZZ, I strongly urge you to retain the "off-network" portion of the rule.

The off-network rule is vitally important to my station and is absolutely necessary to promote diversity and competition in local television markets. In fact, the off-network portion of the Prime Time Access Rule has been instrumental in creating a competitive independent television industry. It is also an essential element in the Commission's long held goal of creating new off-air television networks.

Without the off-network rule, independent stations will have a difficult time securing the rights to top quality off-network programming to air during the access period. This in turn will have a negative impact on my station's ability to finance programming for other dayparts, including local news and public affairs programming.

It is no secret that major changes are taking place in the broadcast industry. Mega mergers between the big three networks and major program producers appear to be on the horizon. With the big three networks poised to enter the off-network and first run syndication market, my station will have a difficult time obtaining the rights to programming. Eliminating the off-network rule may make it impossible. Without PTAR and the off-network portion of the rule, the American public will receive all of its programming from a few telecommunications giants. Such a result is not in the public interest.

No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE

BUCK OWENS PRODUCTION COMPANY, INC.

If the FCC intends to examine this issue, it should do so in the context of a neutral Notice of Inquiry. At this time the FCC should not proceed directly to rule making. There are too many unresolved and complex issues which have not been discussed on the record.

Proposals to eliminate the off-network provision of PTAR will return us to a system where the three major networks can squeeze out independent producers and stifle competition from stations not affiliated with the big three networks. The Commission should not abdicate its responsibility to promote competition. Stations such as my own deserve a chance to compete. I urge you to proceed with extreme care and retain the off-network rule.

Sincerely,

Mel Owens, Jr.

Secretary/Treasurer

MO/cm



94-133 DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINA

Sep 19 9 39 M '94

RECEIVED

SEP 2 6 1994

FCC MAIL ROOM

September 12, 1994

Reed Hundt Office of Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt:

I understand that at its October meeting the Federal Communications Commission may initiate a proceeding looking to relax the Prime Time Access Rule. On behalf of station KRLR-TV, I strongly urge you to retain the "off-network" portion of the rule.

The off-network rule is vitally important to my station and is absolutely necessary to promote diversity and competition in local television markets. In fact, the off-network portion of the Prime Time Access Rule has been instrumental in creating a competitive independent television industry. It is also an essential element in the Commission's long held goal of creating new off-air television networks.

Without the off-network rule, independent stations will have a difficult time securing the rights to top quality off-network programming to air during the access period. This in turn will have a negative impact on my station's ability to finance programming for other dayparts, including local news and public affairs programming.

It is no secret that major changes are taking place in the broadcast industry. Mega mergers between the big three networks and major program producers appear to be on the horizon. With the big three networks poised to enter the off-network and first run syndication market, my station will have a difficult time obtaining the rights to programming. Eliminating the off-network rule may make it impossible. Without PTAR and the off-network portion of the rule, the American public will receive all of its programming from a few telecommunications giants. Such a result is not in the public interest.

If the FCC intends to examine this issue, it should do so in the context of a neutral Notice of Inquiry. At this time the FCC should not proceed directly to rule making. There are too many unresolved and complex issues which have not been discussed on the record.

Proposals to eliminate the off-network provision of PTAR will return us to a system where the three major networks can squeeze out independent producers and stifle competition from stations not affiliated with the big three networks. The Commission should not abdicate its responsibility to promote competition. Stations such as my own

No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE deserve the chance to compete. I urge you to proceed with extreme care and retain the off-network rule.

Sincerely,

Marty Sokoler
Vice President/General Manager
KRLR-TV Las Vegas 21

MS:aa



September 12, 1994

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL RECEIVED

SEP 2 6 1994

FCC MAIL ROOM

913.621.6262

facsimile 913.621.4703

Mr. Reed Hundt Office of Chairman, Rm. 814 Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Mr. Hundt:

I understand that at its October meeting the Federal Communications Commission may initiate a proceeding looking to relax the Prime Time Access Rule. On behalf of station, KSMO-TV62, strongly urge you to retain the "off-network" portion of the rule.

The off-network rule is vitally important to my station and is absolutely necessary to promote diversity and competition in local television markets. In fact, the off-network portion of the Prime Time Access Rule has been instrumental in creating a competitive independent television industry. It is also an essential element in the Commission's long held goal of creating new offair television networks.

Without the off-network rule, independent stations will have a difficult time securing the rights to top quality off-network programming to air during the access period. This in turn will have a negative impact on KSMO-TV62's ability to finance programming for other dayparts, including local news and public affairs programming.

It is no secret that major changes are taking place in the broadcast industry. Mega mergers between the big three networks and major program producers appear to be on the horizon. With the big three networks poised to enter the off-network and first run syndication market, my station will have a difficult time obtaining the rights to programming. Eliminating the off-network rule may make it impossible. Without PTAR and the off-network portion of the rule, the American public will receive all of its programming from a few telecommunications giants. Such a result is not in the public interest.

If the FCC intends to examine this issue, it should do so in the context of a neutral Notice of Inquiry. At this time, the FCC should not proceed directly to rule making. There are too many unresolved and complex issues which have not been discussed on the record.

Proposals to eliminate the off-network provision of PTAR will return us to a system where the three major networks can squeeze out independent producers and stifle competition from stations not affiliated with the big three networks. The Commission should not abdicate its responsibility to promote competition. Stations such as KSMO-TV62 deserve a chance to compete. I urge you to proceed with extreme care and retain the off-network rule.

Sincerely,

No. of Copies rec'd__ List ABCDE

HOME OF THE